

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,653	05/14/2001	Tomohisa Nishikawa	Q64382	7121	
75	7590 12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				XB			
	Application	n N	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/853,653	3	NISHIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		. Johnstone	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no ever within the statut will apply and will cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to eation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	eptember 20	<u>)03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a	action is nor	n-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn from 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom conside						
Application Papers	, ologian jo	14m om om					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exeriority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	are: a)⊠ ac drawing(s) be ion is require	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	c priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since				
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/853,653 Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 12 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on September 9, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It appears from applicants' remarks accompanying the amendment filed on September 9, 2003 that applicants confirm that the "rubber reinforcing layer" recited in claim 10 is in fact the run-flat rubber reinforcing layer described in the specification, but this is not yet clear on the record; if applicants provide confirmation in response to this Office action the examiner will withdraw this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,653

Art Unit 1733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Application 7-189144 A or, alternatively, Japanese Patent Application 10-18188 A.

These references are applied for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 14 of the Office action mailed May 9, 2003 (Paper Number 7). Applicants argue that the JP '144 and JP '188 cords do not meet the requirement for the fraction R "when viewed at an arbitrary angle", however applicants' definition of the fraction R includes no such requirement of viewing at an arbitrary angle, especially in view of the fact that applicants' own invention would fail to meet this requirement (measurements taken in the plane of the ply would include only those X-rays passing through each of the cords of the ply in sequence rather than passing through the thickness of the ply (and therefore passing through each of the cords simultaneously as depicted in Figures 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hatakeyama et al. (4,738,096).

This reference is applied for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 17 of the Office action mailed May 9, 2003 (Paper Number 7). Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,653 Art Unit: 1733

10. Claims 9-11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatakeyama et al. (4,738,096) as applied to claims 5-8 above, and further in view of Oare et al. (5,871,600).

These references are applied for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 18 of the Office action mailed May 9, 2003 (Paper Number 7). No separate arguments were presented for this rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703)308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9311.

Art Unit 1733

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Adrienne Johnstone

December 1, 2003